	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/206,132	FREEMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	After-Final
(1) <u>Cynthia L. Kanik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Quang Nguyen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2003	Time: <u>11:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C Examiner contacted attorney Kanik to request for the a application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Kanik	amendment as it appears in Examine	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief success</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)